



This petition has collected
381 signatures
using the online tools at ipetitions.com

Printed on 2019-07-08

Save the Santa Fe National Forest

About this petition

I respectfully request that the Santa Fe National Forest prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) that fully complies with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in regard to the tree cutting and burning program for the scenic 107,000 acre forest on the western slope of the Sangre de Cristo mountains above Santa Fe known as the Greater Santa Fe Fireshed. The EIS must evaluate alternatives that protect roadless areas, wildlife populations, soil, water, and the ecological integrity of the forest using the best available science.

I further request that the Santa Fe National Forest halt all tree clearing projects in the Greater Santa Fe Fireshed until an EIS has been completed and the public fully informed and involved in the process.

Signatures

1. Name: Bob Funkhouser on 2017-04-19 23:29:49
Comments: An EIS is essential as is greater public involvement. These thinning projects are very destructive and create roads in roadless areas, and all for highly questionable benefits.

2. Name: Doug Booth on 2017-04-20 23:14:20
Comments: The prescription of cutting 93% is drastic and unwarranted, given the recent Forest Service study (Johnson, 2011) recommending leaving 125 and 250 trees per acre. Do an EIS!!

3. Name: Karen Edwards on 2017-04-21 13:42:53
Comments:

4. Name: Patricia D'Andrea on 2017-04-21 21:50:37
Comments: Please use compliance with NEPA to schedule an EIS before going forward with all tree clearing projects in the fireside. I am particularly concerned that a cut based on an outdated assessment (2005!) may go forward without any revisions. Thank you for protecting the forest and for not permitting drastic (90% or greater) tree cutting.

5. Name: David Robertson on 2017-04-21 23:49:32
Comments:

6. Name: David Trusty on 2017-04-22 19:25:26
Comments:

7. Name: Penelope Stowell on 2017-04-23 19:58:36
Comments:

8. Name: Sam Hitt on 2017-04-24 19:24:59
Comments: Clearing 95% of the trees is a significant federal action and clearing requires detailed analysis and public disclosure in an EIS.

9. Name: Emmy Koponen on 2017-04-26 16:44:47
Comments: We need all the trees!

10. Name: Paula Seaton on 2017-04-26 20:00:36
Comments: Please stop any tree clearing projects in the Santa Fe fireshed until an EIS has been completed.
This is a critical time on earth to be clearing more trees.
Thank you.
Paula Seaton

11. Name: jan boyer on 2017-04-27 23:12:50
Comments: Thank you
-
12. Name: cynthia on 2017-04-28 22:57:20
Comments:
-
13. Name: Howard Bleicher on 2017-04-29 21:08:41
Comments: The requirement for an EIS is the common sense approach and should be supported by all.
-
14. Name: Cynthia West on 2017-04-29 22:11:06
Comments:
-
15. Name: Erica Elliott on 2017-04-29 22:30:27
Comments:
-
16. Name: Edmund Merchant on 2017-04-29 22:43:11
Comments:
-
17. Name: gail larsen on 2017-04-29 23:55:36
Comments:
-
18. Name: Sherry Heim on 2017-04-30 00:14:59
Comments:
-
19. Name: Jade on 2017-04-30 00:38:16
Comments:
-
20. Name: anonymous anonymous on 2017-04-30 00:40:22
Comments:
-
21. Name: Alma Best on 2017-04-30 02:20:18
Comments:
-
22. Name: Wendy Higgins on 2017-04-30 16:49:19
Comments:
-
23. Name: Cate Moses on 2017-04-30 17:02:21
Comments:
-
24. Name: Carole Crews on 2017-04-30 17:18:11
Comments:

-
25. Name: Phoebe Hummel on 2017-04-30 17:48:03
Comments: Please stop cutting down and burning our trees in the Greater Santa Fe Watershed.
-
26. Name: Hope Kiah on 2017-04-30 19:10:48
Comments:
-
27. Name: Philip Balcombe on 2017-04-30 19:44:24
Comments: Clear, clean air is vital to many aspects of local life, including tourism. I'm sick of the frequent burns spoiling that, especially if the rationale for them is suspect
-
28. Name: Sita Jamieson Caddle on 2017-04-30 22:52:43
Comments:
-
29. Name: Linda Hinckley on 2017-05-01 04:14:46
Comments:
-
30. Name: Mary Ellen Amuso on 2017-05-04 03:05:28
Comments: Please Take into account the new studies of the JOHNSON Study Lyra Barron Mentioned as well as the EIS being completed. No more cutting please
-
31. Name: Janiece Jonsin on 2017-05-12 22:03:48
Comments: Please, I would like to require an EIS before we allow further tree clearing and disrupting or killing of wildlife. The old ways of doing things without question don't work for our environment today. Thank you.
-
32. Name: Rebecca Crumbacher on 2017-05-13 23:20:45
Comments:
-
33. Name: kali goring on 2017-05-18 14:16:04
Comments:
-
34. Name: Tom Brady on 2017-05-18 21:49:47
Comments: We thought thinning trees on our land adjacent the SFNF would be a good idea. But it is clear to us now that the forest is so stressed from lack of moisture that opening up the canopy has only further overwhelmed the whole system.
-
35. Name: Marlene Fischer on 2017-05-19 01:56:09
Comments: please be sensitive and sensible - NOT invasive and destructive ..
-
36. Name: Gregory Gutin on 2017-05-19 03:16:19
Comments:
-

-
37. Name: Pam Wagner on 2017-05-19 11:39:17
Comments: Save our forest please
-
38. Name: Shelley FaanesHorne on 2017-05-21 02:22:42
Comments: Please don't act too hastily.....wait until EIS has completed a study.....don't leave any stone unturned...for the greater good of all forest, wildlife and humans. Act with great care ...thank you
-
39. Name: Francois-Marie Patorni on 2017-05-24 03:35:14
Comments: The Forest Service mission, according to its website, is to "sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations." This is clearly not the objective that is now what is being pursued in our area.
-
40. Name: Bonnie Friedmann on 2017-05-27 23:03:21
Comments: While I am not a resident of New Mexico, I have spent time there and enjoyed the beauty and serenity of its forests. I was very distressed to learn of the recent clearing operations that have dangerously thinned large tracts of the forest, leaving an unsightly mess. The overly aggressive thinning has destroyed animal habitats and increased the likelihood of erosion. I stand with those residents and voters of New Mexico who care about their forests by requesting that all thinning operations cease until an EIS is undertaken. Thank you.
-
41. Name: Dianna Suslo on 2017-05-27 23:11:21
Comments:
-
42. Name: Sarah Hyden on 2017-05-28 00:27:58
Comments: It is so heart breaking to see the extreme tree cutting that is occurring in and nearby the Santa Fe National Forest. It simply devastates the forest, and we are seeing that it weakens the remaining trees so they are contracting tree diseases. We need a thorough study of this tree clearing project by the completion of an Environmental Impact Statement.
http://www.santafenewmexican.com/opinion/my_view/reader-view-help-save-our-forest/article_934def3e-5d13-594e-a4cb-899617365fde.html
-
43. Name: Donald DuBois on 2017-05-28 01:25:05
Comments: Thank you for caring so much about our piece of the environment and saving the forest from over cutting.
-
44. Name: Sol suslovich on 2017-05-28 01:42:46
Comments:
-
45. Name: Dawn ehrhard wingard on 2017-05-28 02:08:03
Comments: Please moderate your care and clear cutting of the forest

-
46. Name: phyllis blair on 2017-05-28 02:34:18
Comments:
-
47. Name: Charles Carruthers on 2017-05-28 05:41:52
Comments:
-
48. Name: Mark Wingard on 2017-05-28 14:38:25
Comments:
-
49. Name: Janice Olch on 2017-05-28 16:24:15
Comments: Comply with existing laws and prepare an EIS before any tree thinning or clearing. Don't rely on outdated science, consult current science when making decisions about forest management.
-
50. Name: Fred Hyden on 2017-05-28 18:15:45
Comments: Beautiful area. Worth protecting.
-
51. Name: Nina Simons on 2017-05-28 19:25:52
Comments: I live adjacent to the national forest, and implore you to conduct an EIS as this petition states...as public input is impt and needed in this effort. Of course we need to make the forests safer, but we also need to preserve habitat for wildlife, and other needed ecosystem functions.
-
52. Name: Zachary Travis Finn on 2017-05-28 22:21:42
Comments:
-
53. Name: Diane Carlson on 2017-05-28 22:43:04
Comments:
-
54. Name: Francine E Wunk on 2017-05-29 01:37:27
Comments:
-
55. Name: Charles Koenig on 2017-05-29 04:26:47
Comments:
-
56. Name: barbara alenia on 2017-05-29 09:28:04
Comments:
-
57. Name: Martha Davis on 2017-05-29 12:33:42
Comments:
-

58. Name: Test by Bob Funkhouser on 2017-05-29 13:02:41
Comments: this is a test
-
59. Name: Adrian Wills on 2017-05-29 13:50:56
Comments:
-
60. Name: helen chantler on 2017-05-29 14:08:06
Comments:
-
61. Name: Sylvia Seret on 2017-05-29 14:48:01
Comments:
-
62. Name: Jade Gordon on 2017-05-29 15:30:22
Comments:
-
63. Name: Claudia Bloom on 2017-05-29 17:13:32
Comments:
-
64. Name: Anna Soeiro on 2017-05-29 19:57:12
Comments:
-
65. Name: Russell J Ray on 2017-05-29 20:17:00
Comments:
-
66. Name: Robert Bernstein on 2017-05-29 20:52:36
Comments:
-
67. Name: Maj-Britt Eagle on 2017-05-29 21:36:20
Comments: Please do not burn trees in the western slope of the Sangre de Cristo mountains above Santa Fe; new science (Hidden Life of Trees) shows their community networking & their sequestering of carbon are life giving. We value the forest in its natural state, as we value ecological integrity over profit. Maj-Britt Eagle, 21 Cougar Ridge Road, 87505
-
68. Name: JORGE OTI on 2017-05-29 21:59:52
Comments: I live adjacent to the SF National Forest and of course fire safety is a major concern. Likewise a poor EIS is also a major concern. I request that the SFNF prepare an EIS that complies with established guidelines for a tree clearing program.
-
69. Name: Betty Kuhn on 2017-05-29 22:08:41
Comments: Please stop clearing trees around Santa Fe until an EIS has been done.
-
70. Name: Lisa Corradino on 2017-05-31 05:51:52

Comments: This is an abomination! With all the pollution already compromising our air quality and the one thing that stands to benefit the problem being foolishly demolished with heavy petroleum guzzling ecosystem destroying equipment as a preemptive strike against wildfires? This is pure insanity

71. Name: Janet Williams on 2017-05-31 13:15:37
Comments:

72. Name: Eduardo Santiago on 2017-05-31 13:58:48
Comments: It is standard practice to require an EIS before projects of this magnitude. Please act with due caution.

73. Name: Alan Callioni on 2017-05-31 14:48:30
Comments: Waiting for an EIS simply makes sense.

74. Name: Michelle Pascale on 2017-05-31 15:11:21
Comments:

75. Name: Angela S Kirkman on 2017-05-31 15:17:26
Comments:

76. Name: K TYson on 2017-05-31 16:03:05
Comments:

77. Name: Erin Gould on 2017-05-31 18:39:28
Comments:

78. Name: Joseph Patton on 2017-05-31 23:11:10
Comments:

79. Name: Marylou Butler on 2017-06-01 03:23:36
Comments:

80. Name: Marilyn Hargrove on 2017-06-01 14:59:37
Comments:

81. Name: Colin on 2017-06-01 22:18:49
Comments:

82. Name: fred king on 2017-06-02 14:44:49
Comments:

83. Name: Dee Blanco on 2017-06-02 21:05:16
Comments: Although any study cannot completely cover the complexity of the forest with climate changes occurring, to speed ahead with thinning without a thorough EIS is an exercise in ignorance headed for disaster of our public lands. Respect for the health of our forests and therefore our planet absolutely requires, at a minimum, an EIS.
-
84. Name: Joel M Mathews on 2017-06-06 22:24:11
Comments: Please find alternatives and protect our forests from unnecessary damage to life! thank you!
-
85. Name: JO SPEICHER on 2017-06-08 02:47:17
Comments: PLEASE DO NOT CLEAR THE FORESTS.
-
86. Name: Dick Artley on 2017-06-09 01:44:11
Comments: Greater Santa Fe Fireshed work is not a "project" but instead its a program. A programmatic EIS must be completed.
-
87. Name: tatiana druffel on 2017-06-09 18:44:31
Comments:
-
88. Name: Heather Ni Caera on 2017-06-29 10:34:31
Comments:
-
89. Name: Susan Popovich on 2017-06-29 12:55:05
Comments:
-
90. Name: Pat Walke on 2017-06-30 01:19:49
Comments:
-
91. Name: Lissa Callirhoe on 2017-07-06 21:34:27
Comments: I've lived in Northern NM since 1957. I've known in my heart that it is Wrong to burn forests, but I just found out, in the Green Fire Times, that other folks know this also! I'm grateful to not be alone!
The smoke from those "prescribed burns" is used to cover air pollution from other sources.
When will we stop the destruction?! I'm no scientist, just a living breathing person, who once loved to roam NM's forests.
-
92. Name: CLAIRE FRYE on 2017-07-08 21:12:16
Comments: Stop the burns! They cause harm to people, animals, vegetation and are NOT scientifically proven to wrk. Moreover STOP doing whatever you please without citizen input, Forest Service.
-
93. Name: Claire Frye on 2017-07-16 23:56:48

-
94. Name: Kate Savannah on 2017-08-08 16:35:45
Comments: What a great idea! Let's burn more forests so we add yet more carbon to the atmosphere and further enrich whatever greedy corporation will make a killing - literally and figuratively - off of this.
- It's "plans" like this that bear witness to the fact that capitalism makes you stupid...RREALLY STUPID!!
-
95. Name: Mark Messer on 2017-08-09 14:49:59
Comments:
-
96. Name: Douglas Moore on 2017-09-20 00:36:19
Comments:
-
97. Name: Deborah Brink on 2017-09-20 02:40:59
Comments: I demand a comprehensive environmental impact statement
-
98. Name: David on 2017-10-01 21:35:45
Comments: Greed and Ignorance Guide the US Forest Policies. Stop the prescribed burns creating medical harm to humans. Let's get beyond the Modern Dark Ages to a Journey to Truth and Healing.
-
99. Name: SV Yeshe Gaia-Khan on 2017-10-26 16:33:48
Comments: Our forests are vital and life-giving; air giving. Please have some common sense. Protect our forests. For the People. For the animals. For the planet. It's the right thing to do. Generations to come will thank you.
-
100. Name: Dharma Best on 2017-10-26 18:57:24
Comments:
-
101. Name: Dr Jonas Skardis on 2017-10-27 02:15:15
Comments:
-
102. Name: Maggy schulze on 2017-11-12 14:11:24
Comments:
-
103. Name: Tom Brady on 2017-11-17 02:37:40
Comments:
-
104. Name: Mark Wingard on 2017-11-26 14:38:10
Comments:
-

105.	Name: Tina Davila	on 2018-01-24 19:18:21	Comments:
106.	Name: Melissa Chalmers	on 2018-02-27 20:52:11	Comments:
107.	Name: Lucy Smith	on 2018-03-05 01:58:34	Comments:
108.	Name: Joan Dickerson	on 2018-03-05 15:54:17	Comments:
109.	Name: Shelley Oram	on 2018-03-05 17:45:32	Comments: Do the study and publish the results before any further action!!!
110.	Name: Carolyn Lake	on 2018-03-05 18:25:39	Comments: Yes to stopping all plans of deforestation in Santa Fe Forest
111.	Name: Adele Strasser	on 2018-03-05 19:01:55	Comments: Please do environmental study first for this small fragile vulnerable eco system which serves so much to Santa Fe residents.
112.	Name: Amy Kaplan	on 2018-03-06 01:04:43	Comments:
113.	Name: Dexter Wayne	on 2018-03-11 00:13:19	Comments:
114.	Name: Cristina Phillips	on 2018-03-11 00:26:54	Comments: We need to save our beautiful forests from this travesty!
115.	Name: David Dillman	on 2018-03-11 01:53:04	Comments:
116.	Name: Nancy Reed	on 2018-03-11 14:15:01	Comments: An EIR is necessary!
117.	Name: Alan Questel	on 2018-03-11 15:28:00	Comments:
118.	Name: Jo Lee	on 2018-04-04 18:33:51	

Comments:

-
119. Name: AURORA ROSE HVIDSTEN on 2018-04-07 14:24:07
Comments:
-
120. Name: Dr Jonas Skardis on 2018-04-07 15:21:57
Comments:
-
121. Name: Paula McGee on 2018-04-08 20:49:12
Comments: I am fortunate to be able to experience our Santa Fe National Forest for several weeks each year while camping at Black Canyon and Hyde Park. It is essential to protect the roadless areas.
-
122. Name: Katherine Carino on 2018-04-09 00:59:44
Comments:
-
123. Name: Simon Williams on 2018-04-09 01:00:39
Comments:
-
124. Name: Carol J Johnson on 2018-04-09 15:10:46
Comments: Wildlife are being stressed by climate change . Cutting and burning the forest is criminal, further distresses wildlife, humans, the remaining forest, air and water. An EIS is essential!
-
125. Name: Lyra Barron on 2018-04-09 15:30:17
Comments: I live in an area that is being thinned, and the drive I once so enjoyed now brings tears to my eyes. I love the trees, and now the beauty of the wild untouched nature is forever gone, to no purpose. We now have mountains of slash that feel more dangerous.
-
126. Name: William Franklin on 2018-04-10 13:34:08
Comments:
-
127. Name: Cinny Green on 2018-04-10 14:37:59
Comments: An EPA assessment should also evaluate the impact on the adjacent Pecos Wilderness.
-
128. Name: Gerald Black on 2018-04-10 14:39:59
Comments: NO clearing until an EIS is completed.
-
129. Name: Cindy Gregory on 2018-04-10 14:51:16
Comments: This is the only responsible course of action. We expect compliance from our government.

-
130. Name: Susan Davis on 2018-04-10 14:52:55
Comments:
-
131. Name: Susan Morgan on 2018-04-10 14:53:47
Comments:
-
132. Name: Audrey Heffelfinger on 2018-04-10 14:58:40
Comments:
-
133. Name: Carolyn Scott on 2018-04-10 15:14:37
Comments: Please dont thin the trees and leave all the brush, and your damage to the wild life and land.
-
134. Name: Stephanie Garcia on 2018-04-10 15:33:07
Comments:
-
135. Name: scott armstrong on 2018-04-10 15:49:41
Comments:
-
136. Name: Linda Regnier on 2018-04-10 16:01:50
Comments:
-
137. Name: William Gooch on 2018-04-10 16:24:43
Comments: There is NO scientific evidence supporting the ongoing radical forest thinning in eastern Santa Fe county, and its destructive impact to the forest and the wildlife is undeniable.
-
138. Name: Marilyn Von Reiter on 2018-04-10 16:55:43
Comments: Stop denuding our forest and disrupting the natural balance until an EIS report is done. This is mindless destruction of our forest!
-
139. Name: Dan Schiller on 2018-04-10 16:59:17
Comments:
-
140. Name: Judith White on 2018-04-10 17:38:19
Comments:
-
141. Name: Linda F Whittenberg on 2018-04-10 18:20:00
Comments: Please take necessary steps to prevent forest fires in the Pecos Wilderness. An impact statement sounds like a good idea to me.
-

142. Name: Donald Emery on 2018-04-10 22:51:42
Comments:
-
143. Name: linda seese on 2018-04-10 23:39:39
Comments:
-
144. Name: Carolyn Lake on 2018-04-11 00:19:50
Comments:
-
145. Name: Madeline Foy on 2018-04-11 01:43:22
Comments:
-
146. Name: Shari Hirst on 2018-04-11 01:56:59
Comments: There are responsible ways to thin a forest and make it resilient to fire, and non-responsible ways that destroy a forest.
-
147. Name: Helene Aarons on 2018-04-11 13:45:37
Comments: AN EIS STATEMENT FIRST THAT COMPLIES WITH NEPA IS THE RIGHT FIRST STEP.
-
148. Name: Mary Parsaca on 2018-04-11 14:09:55
Comments:
-
149. Name: Karyn Rose on 2018-04-11 15:15:40
Comments:
-
150. Name: Jerry Hannah on 2018-04-11 18:44:15
Comments: Since the EPA is currently leaderless, we must do what we can to keep our parks and national forests intact.
-
151. Name: Carol Bartelt on 2018-04-12 00:28:50
Comments:
-
152. Name: Janette Fischer on 2018-04-12 14:08:38
Comments:
-
153. Name: Jo Ann Sullivan on 2018-04-12 21:06:31
Comments:
-
154. Name: Kathleen on 2018-04-12 21:24:23
Comments:
-

155. Name: Goose Fedders on 2018-04-12 22:14:17
Comments:
-
156. Name: Genny Genevich on 2018-04-13 01:36:28
Comments:
-
157. Name: Robert Perks on 2018-04-13 17:28:05
Comments:
-
158. Name: Lura Brookins on 2018-04-13 20:33:18
Comments:
-
159. Name: Kathryn Brooks on 2018-04-13 20:50:37
Comments: An EIS is essential before decisions to proceed begin.
-
160. Name: Jeffry Hanus on 2018-04-15 22:04:45
Comments: Stop clear cutting trees until and EIS has been completed and the public fully informed and involved in the process.
-
161. Name: Susana Guillaume on 2018-04-15 23:46:42
Comments: Please don't destroy the forest especially when it appears that there is absolutely no benefit to doing so.
-
162. Name: MARY LAYNE on 2018-04-19 17:20:48
Comments:
-
163. Name: Tim Mckimmie on 2018-04-22 02:15:07
Comments:
-
164. Name: Scott Shuker on 2018-04-23 14:14:24
Comments:
-
165. Name: jonathan shapiro on 2018-05-17 22:27:53
Comments: This is unbelievable. Clear cutting is not the answer.
-
166. Name: Myriah Toups on 2018-05-25 00:10:37
Comments:
-
167. Name: Martha Davis on 2018-05-25 13:57:52
Comments:
-
168. Name: Renee Athay on 2018-05-26 00:40:04

Comments:

-
169. Name: marnie gaede on 2018-06-02 20:46:07
Comments:
-
170. Name: Kim Butler McIntosh on 2018-06-04 17:42:09
Comments:
-
171. Name: Pamella Neely on 2018-06-12 19:27:17
Comments: This is simply too much acreage to not manage with scientifically-backed information. This type of cutting - removing 90% of the trees - has had very bad consequences in other parts of the West.
- The people want a real study done! It's our forest!
-
172. Name: Robert Hoffman on 2018-06-13 03:22:53
Comments:
-
173. Name: Ruby Thorne on 2018-06-20 02:29:52
Comments:
-
174. Name: Kitty Broadbent on 2018-07-08 14:27:32
Comments:
-
175. Name: Lynn Rosen on 2018-07-08 18:45:32
Comments: what purpose is served by NOT doing an EIS? who benefits from that?
-
176. Name: Fredrick Ruff on 2018-08-04 15:27:48
Comments:
-
177. Name: Karen Weber on 2018-08-19 18:38:16
Comments:
-
178. Name: lucie brennan on 2018-08-19 18:55:53
Comments:
-
179. Name: Naomi Landau on 2018-08-25 18:03:19
Comments:
-
180. Name: M G on 2018-08-26 18:07:24
Comments: Stop destroying our
-

181.	Name: molly mysliwiec	on 2018-08-28 14:11:10	Comments:
182.	Name: Mary Langdon	on 2018-09-09 21:54:18	Comments:
183.	Name: Lonnie Howard	on 2018-09-12 03:52:41	Comments:
184.	Name: Doug Hitt	on 2018-09-12 16:05:14	Comments:
185.	Name: Sheila burns	on 2018-09-16 14:06:13	Comments:
186.	Name: Susan Davis	on 2018-09-16 15:29:21	Comments:
187.	Name: Courtney Knudsen	on 2018-09-23 17:21:42	Comments: Don't take our trees!
188.	Name: Rev Jean Darling	on 2018-09-26 01:30:22	Comments: There is still so much we have to learn about how forests care for themselves!
189.	Name: Merle Lefkoff	on 2018-09-28 19:03:44	Comments:
190.	Name: Janis Kerr	on 2018-09-28 19:49:05	Comments: There must be an environmental impact done before these thinning burns can happen. I also protest how the fires are lit. More than once have we come out of our business in the early evening when burns are being done and smelled burning flesh. You can't convince me that this is not our wildlife in the mountains that are burning. we never notice it any other time. Please save our wildlife especially when they are getting ready for hibernation and when they are baring their young and nesting in the spring. Janis Kerr Santa Fe N.M.
191.	Name: Laura Clarke	on 2018-09-28 20:16:56	Comments:
192.	Name: Marilyn	on 2018-09-29 04:17:34	Comments:

193.	Name: Rebecca Procter	on 2018-09-29 11:07:07	Comments:
194.	Name: Luaan Gutierrez	on 2018-09-29 19:01:39	Comments:
195.	Name: Liz Bessin	on 2018-10-06 05:05:23	Comments:
196.	Name: Matt henriquez	on 2018-10-06 17:25:12	Comments: Please do not do this.
197.	Name: Catherine Sullivan	on 2018-11-05 03:26:33	Comments:
198.	Name: Lori Abel	on 2018-11-28 02:59:45	Comments:
199.	Name: Kent Little	on 2018-11-28 04:35:35	Comments: Leave no stone unturned!
200.	Name: Shubham Agarwal	on 2018-11-28 10:22:17	Comments:
201.	Name: Ann Jordan	on 2018-12-07 21:07:28	Comments: I am a resident of the Glorieta area and I oppose the apparent unchecked cutting of trees in the Santa Fe National Forest and neighboring areas. I was born and raised in Santa Fe, and I moved to this area 26 years ago. The trees were an important aspect of my decision to move here. I had the assurance that because my land borders National Forest, along with many of my neighbors', we are protected because the National Forest is protected. Such is apparently not the case, and this is a shock. Property values are negatively affected as well as residents' quality of life when indiscriminate clearing is allowed.
202.	Name: Wendy Volkmann	on 2018-12-11 20:57:27	Comments:
203.	Name: Natalie Wells	on 2018-12-12 15:55:22	Comments: It is obvious that an EiS is needed.
204.	Name: Jane Shoenfeld	on 2018-12-19 16:11:52	Comments:

205. Name: Maureen Havey on 2019-01-02 09:11:00
Comments: this sounds like a very bad idea
-
206. Name: Glenn Castro on 2019-01-11 04:07:16
Comments: shame
-
207. Name: Edith Homans on 2019-01-13 17:07:14
Comments: An EIS and ample public input is essential for a project of this magnitude.
-
208. Name: Ann Hendrie on 2019-01-21 20:05:08
Comments:
-
209. Name: Spencer Atkinson on 2019-01-28 00:08:08
Comments:
-
210. Name: Paul Eitner on 2019-01-28 02:27:02
Comments:
-
211. Name: Jennifer Johnson on 2019-01-28 03:35:11
Comments:
-
212. Name: Tom Sharpe on 2019-01-28 03:39:37
Comments: I have doubts about the effectiveness of cutting and burning in forests on wildfires.
-
213. Name: Dahlia Cummings on 2019-01-28 03:53:12
Comments:
-
214. Name: Ruth Lathrop on 2019-01-28 04:16:33
Comments:
-
215. Name: Pete hitt on 2019-01-28 15:24:18
Comments:
-
216. Name: Jonathan Glass on 2019-03-06 00:05:41
Comments: Today SFNF announced that they hope to start a forest fire a few miles east of downtown in a week or two. There may well be a lot of dangerous smoke where I live like there was when they started a similar fire in the fall, but I can take precautions, e.g. leave town. I am most cynical and don't understand the supposed risk management behind what otherwise sounds like exploitation.
-
217. Name: Jack Lehman on 2019-03-19 21:37:11
Comments:

I respectfully request that the Santa Fe National Forest prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) that fully complies with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in regard to the tree cutting and burning program for the scenic 107,000 acre forest on the western slope of the Sangre de Cristo mountains above Santa Fe known as the Greater Santa Fe Fireshed. The EIS must evaluate alternatives that protect roadless areas, wildlife populations, soil, water, and the ecological integrity of the forest using the best available science.

I further request that the Santa Fe National Forest halt all tree clearing projects in the Greater Santa Fe Fireshed until an EIS has been completed and the public fully informed and involved in the process.

218. Name: Christine Pickrell on 2019-03-20 18:33:09
Comments:

219. Name: Christina Bouajila on 2019-03-20 19:58:53
Comments:

220. Name: Carol Licini on 2019-03-20 23:32:14
Comments:

221. Name: Valerie Miller on 2019-03-21 00:00:51
Comments:

222. Name: Naima Shea on 2019-03-21 01:44:28
Comments: The destruction of over 90% of the trees, particularly using chemicals with known damaging effects to humans as well as animals, insects and birds, amounts to nothing less than a scorched earth policy. We cannot afford to destroy in the name of life anymore. DO AN EIS.

223. Name: Brianna romero on 2019-03-21 02:43:17
Comments:

224. Name: John Smallwood on 2019-03-21 05:29:11
Comments: In 2019, it is time that the sane minds take over the USFS. Make it the world's leading ecological preserve. You are still, in 2019, Teddy Roosevelt's logging apparatus, and it is not representative of the individuals in the organization. Why no EIS and why is project information unavailable? Who is gaining while we all are losing?

225. Name: Barbara howard on 2019-03-21 15:18:16
Comments:

226. Name: Peter clark on 2019-03-21 15:55:07
Comments:

227. Name: Holly Coonsis on 2019-03-21 16:38:07
Comments:

228. Name: scott a reid on 2019-03-21 16:58:21
Comments:

229. Name: Linda Spier on 2019-03-21 23:54:00
Comments: Forests, trees, are an integral, essential part of the water cycle. And the trees roots hold the mountains in place. Destroy the forests...we destroy ourselves, and will leave a barren, rocky, eroded, lifeless, waterless landscape that will never recover in this arid region. Forests must be protected; forests are a priceless, irreplaceable treasure. Shame on the Hubris of those wo/men coming up with this heinous scheme that will destroy the Santa Fe Watershed, and disrupt the entire ecosystem of the sacred Sangre de Cristo Mountains.

230. Name: Keith peters on 2019-03-23 01:00:02
Comments:

231. Name: Fitz Jay on 2019-03-23 05:42:09
Comments:

232. Name: Seckler Marilyn on 2019-03-23 16:41:47
Comments:

233. Name: Agnes Lau on 2019-03-24 14:03:50
Comments: Removal of 93% of the trees is not a prescription. It is decimation with serious short and long term repercussions. The project proposal without an EIS is suspect. SFNF - please do the right thing. Conduct the EIS and share it with the citizens of Santa Fe and all affected areas so that we have facts and know what we are dealing with.

234. Name: caryn glickman on 2019-04-01 14:41:38
Comments:

235. Name: Kim Richardson on 2019-04-03 00:19:09
Comments:

236. Name: G Okuma on 2019-04-17 20:15:05
Comments: Burning or cutting 90% of the trees which make Santa Fe one of the most beautiful, unique places in the US is not a "thinning" operation in the name of public safety. It's a clearing which will effect the health of the landscape and the people of Santa Fe for years to come. We live in a changing climate and our methods for protecting ourselves must also protect the earth we leave behind for our children. It is reasonable to request a proper EIS to do as the Forest Service promises - protect the woods and the people.

-
237. Name: Karen Shepherd on 2019-04-19 06:47:08
Comments: And, if and when "controlled burns" are scheduled, using chemicals that endanger public health should be re-evaluated! Please!
-
238. Name: Harrison Hook on 2019-04-29 19:53:16
Comments:
-
239. Name: Satya Deborah Kirsch on 2019-05-08 16:12:35
Comments:
-
240. Name: Sandra Giltner on 2019-05-16 12:58:59
Comments:
-
241. Name: gail larsen on 2019-05-20 13:45:49
Comments:
-
242. Name: Kathy Marie Smith on 2019-05-20 16:58:21
Comments:
-
243. Name: Kathryn Sipowicz on 2019-05-21 13:04:47
Comments:
-
244. Name: Lynar Abel on 2019-05-22 15:07:50
Comments:
-
245. Name: Katy Evans on 2019-05-22 15:17:44
Comments:
-
246. Name: Ben B on 2019-05-22 17:46:55
Comments:
-
247. Name: nina zelevansky on 2019-05-22 17:49:28
Comments: Pleasable to e, we must learn to think and deeply investigate, before we act on something that will never be able to be fixed. Our forests, are care for our wildlife, are part of my home in NM. Please lets protect it !
-
248. Name: Nigel Rudlin on 2019-05-22 17:58:41
Comments: Trees are important for life
-
249. Name: Dena Holman on 2019-05-22 19:33:23
Comments: The very air we breathe is contingent upon trees.

250.	Name: Joy Carey	on 2019-05-22 22:31:38	Comments:
251.	Name: Kathleen M Noonan	on 2019-05-23 00:44:20	Comments:
252.	Name: Jennie Begley	on 2019-05-23 17:52:45	Comments: thank you for sharing this and for your efforts. please contact me if I can help
253.	Name: Deja DeSpain	on 2019-05-24 01:55:59	Comments:
254.	Name: Elliot Ryan	on 2019-05-24 02:34:10	Comments:
255.	Name: Judy Herzl	on 2019-05-24 03:09:54	Comments:
256.	Name: Christian Leahy	on 2019-05-24 04:27:53	Comments:
257.	Name: Maryanne Fillier	on 2019-05-24 04:39:27	Comments: Save the Santa Fe National Forest
258.	Name: Donna Dluhy	on 2019-05-24 05:25:10	Comments:
259.	Name: acazia gilmore	on 2019-05-24 11:09:24	Comments:
260.	Name: Debra Roberts	on 2019-05-24 13:35:16	Comments:
261.	Name: Eduardo Quant	on 2019-05-24 14:38:22	Comments: Save it!
262.	Name: Rita hogan	on 2019-05-24 15:17:48	Comments: We need to conserve trees and animal habitat
263.	Name: Randy Kidd	on 2019-05-24 15:21:13	Comments:

264.	Name: Daryl Stanton	on 2019-05-24 15:38:25	Comments:
<hr/>			
265.	Name: Lucy Viele	on 2019-05-25 09:23:59	Comments:
<hr/>			
266.	Name: Dorothy Dean	on 2019-05-25 12:16:03	Comments: The previous clearing has been excessive and mistakes have been made that lead to the unintended burning of trees that were intended to be saved. Roads have been created inviting ATV's and causing more erosion. An EIS should be performed!
<hr/>			
267.	Name: Barbara Zuckerman	on 2019-05-25 15:16:11	Comments:
<hr/>			
268.	Name: Stephen Tanner	on 2019-05-25 17:08:17	Comments:
<hr/>			
269.	Name: Nodiah Brent	on 2019-05-25 18:21:37	Comments: Transparency is essential.
<hr/>			
270.	Name: Laura Dean	on 2019-05-25 22:10:44	Comments:
<hr/>			
271.	Name: Dominique Vorillon	on 2019-05-26 15:30:53	Comments:
<hr/>			
272.	Name: Deb Jones	on 2019-05-26 16:34:44	Comments:
<hr/>			
273.	Name: Michael Krumm	on 2019-05-27 02:02:57	Comments:
<hr/>			
274.	Name: Doris Welch	on 2019-05-29 03:59:33	Comments: Can't be good for environment and animals.
<hr/>			
275.	Name: Magita Story	on 2019-05-29 04:59:33	Comments:
<hr/>			
276.	Name: Linda Fertal	on 2019-05-30 16:26:19	Comments:

277.	Name: guthrie miller	on 2019-05-30 18:01:59	Comments:
278.	Name: Ryan Ross	on 2019-06-02 00:59:10	Comments:
279.	Name: Greg Sonnenfeld	on 2019-06-02 02:10:06	Comments: An EIS is needed to determine if this is the correct process, and if alternative processes would better benefit the forest.
280.	Name: Randy Chavez	on 2019-06-02 16:49:19	Comments: Yes
281.	Name: jamie douglass	on 2019-06-02 22:29:10	Comments:
282.	Name: Christopher Prandoni	on 2019-06-03 03:46:20	Comments:
283.	Name: lynn and santiago plata	on 2019-06-03 23:19:13	Comments:
284.	Name: Michael Bartlett	on 2019-06-04 23:19:17	Comments: Please take the appropriate steps to honor what is right for our geographical area.
285.	Name: Sylvia Crain	on 2019-06-05 03:41:53	Comments: All options should be evaluated and public comment involved in looking at options and approaches for mitigating fire danger and protecting our forests and wildlife.
286.	Name: Nora Ryerson	on 2019-06-05 10:32:07	Comments: We need to be so careful with the precious trees. Make sure we are doing exactly the best thing for the forest before taking any severe, irreversible measures.
287.	Name: Nancy Kingsbury	on 2019-06-05 16:57:09	Comments: We need the trees to offset global climate change.
288.	Name: Donna Lukacs	on 2019-06-05 17:09:24	Comments:
289.	Name: Jane Price	on 2019-06-05 20:22:14	Comments:

290. Name: Patricia Stewart on 2019-06-06 03:52:56
Comments: Given the serious state in which we find ourselves in regard to the survival of our planet, we cannot afford to take risks which jeopardize the well-being of our environment and all species. Please take seriously this request to investigate the potential impact of all proposed burnings, keeping in mind we are leaving a legacy for our children and future generations.
-
291. Name: Joanne Bundy on 2019-06-06 05:33:08
Comments:
-
292. Name: john camilli on 2019-06-06 18:44:42
Comments: Save all trees. God save the planet from humans?
-
293. Name: Martin Parks on 2019-06-06 21:33:22
Comments: Please study the impacts of the tree thinning program before proceeding. The cost to plant and animal habitat needs to be understood and costs and benefits weight, considering alternatives before a decision is made to proceed.
-
294. Name: Linda O'Toole on 2019-06-06 21:35:42
Comments: an environmental impact is necessary.
-
295. Name: carla levy on 2019-06-06 22:18:20
Comments:
-
296. Name: Sandra Marak on 2019-06-07 00:15:34
Comments:
-
297. Name: Micah bundy on 2019-06-07 03:58:23
Comments:
-
298. Name: debrianna mansini on 2019-06-07 21:45:15
Comments: if you have not yet seen the film Big Little Farm, you must. It is documentary evidence as to why TREES help save the environment and the health of our planet. All of nature is interconnected and destroying this vital part of the web MUST be studied -at a minimum- before we can no longer replace it. We are in grave danger with climate change. Moves like this, without knowing the consequences, are beyond foolish. They jeopardize our very existence.
-
299. Name: Lisa Camacho on 2019-06-07 21:51:25
Comments: There has to be another solution that isn't so drastic
-
300. Name: Janie Dolechek on 2019-06-07 21:52:59
Comments: I respectfully request that the Santa Fe National Forest prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) that fully complies with the National Environmental

Policy Act (NEPA) in regard to the tree cutting and burning program for the scenic 107,000 acre forest on the western slope of the Sangre de Cristo mountains above Santa Fe known as the Greater Santa Fe Fireshed. The EIS must evaluate alternatives that protect roadless areas, wildlife populations, soil, water, and the ecological integrity of the forest using the best available science.

I further request that the Santa Fe National Forest halt all tree clearing projects in the Greater Santa Fe Fireshed until an EIS has been completed and the public fully informed and involved in the process.

301. Name: Sarah Wallbaum on 2019-06-07 22:25:05
Comments:

302. Name: Sharon Eliashar on 2019-06-07 22:59:16
Comments:

303. Name: Alicia Curtis on 2019-06-08 02:58:27
Comments: Don't they realize how crucial the plant kingdom is to the survival of the human race (because of the exchange between the plant kingdom and humans of oxygen and carbon dioxide) as well as the life of all of gods critters! There has to be other alternatives to the problem than cutting down and burning down the trees. Keep looking. You will find them!

304. Name: Gene Yoder on 2019-06-08 03:34:13
Comments:

305. Name: Mai Ting on 2019-06-08 15:34:48
Comments:

306. Name: Mary Shearer on 2019-06-08 16:53:12
Comments:

307. Name: Robyn Machney on 2019-06-08 21:33:15
Comments:

308. Name: Christine on 2019-06-09 00:21:35
Comments:

309. Name: Gloria Devan on 2019-06-09 02:09:04
Comments:

310. Name: Deborah Klezmer on 2019-06-09 13:36:46
Comments:

311.	Name: Barbara Hawkins	on 2019-06-09 16:58:31	Comments:
312.	Name: Kathleen Quirk	on 2019-06-09 20:29:07	Comments:
313.	Name: I love Katie quirk	on 2019-06-09 23:05:28	Comments: I'm serious, she supports this. She's awesome and hot since the 80's
314.	Name: Jaci Kinsey	on 2019-06-10 05:58:48	Comments:
315.	Name: Ann Reuland	on 2019-06-10 12:38:07	Comments:
316.	Name: Earl James	on 2019-06-10 15:42:02	Comments:
317.	Name: Merlin Emrys	on 2019-06-10 16:30:09	Comments:
318.	Name: Chris Venet	on 2019-06-10 18:33:26	Comments:
319.	Name: Lisa Burns	on 2019-06-10 18:39:04	Comments:
320.	Name: Judi Rider	on 2019-06-10 19:53:47	Comments:
321.	Name: Jennifer	on 2019-06-11 00:21:19	Comments:
322.	Name: Doli	on 2019-06-11 06:30:54	Comments: There are other ways of preventing fires. Destroying the environment of species that live there is not the way to tackle this problem!
323.	Name: Rebeca Price	on 2019-06-11 12:56:39	Comments:
324.	Name: Hanne Burleson	on 2019-06-11 13:44:11	Comments:

-
325. Name: sandy funk on 2019-06-11 13:49:17
Comments: as the forest go, so go the animals
"as the animals go, so go we."
Chief Joseph
-
326. Name: evelyn j gauthier on 2019-06-11 14:35:56
Comments:
-
327. Name: Connie Seabourn on 2019-06-11 15:49:56
Comments:
-
328. Name: Purvi Patel on 2019-06-11 15:58:24
Comments:
-
329. Name: Erica Olivares on 2019-06-11 18:07:39
Comments:
-
330. Name: Gregory SALUSTRO on 2019-06-11 18:24:15
Comments:
-
331. Name: Lexi Mackenzie on 2019-06-11 18:29:31
Comments: Please do not clear the forests without an extensive study. We need the trees and it is cruel to kill off so many animals and their homes.
-
332. Name: mare tomaski on 2019-06-11 18:32:36
Comments: do not destroy habitats without impact studies!
-
333. Name: Catherine Isaac on 2019-06-11 19:41:18
Comments: Please provide data to the public showing that this is the absolute correct course of action.
-
334. Name: Helen Henry on 2019-06-11 19:41:55
Comments:
-
335. Name: Trevor Burrowes on 2019-06-12 00:32:40
Comments:
-
336. Name: Trevor Burrowes on 2019-06-12 00:33:06
Comments:
-
337. Name: Carolyn on 2019-06-12 03:45:06

Comments:

-
338. Name: Rebecca Kroll on 2019-06-12 16:51:34
Comments:
-
339. Name: susan shanklin on 2019-06-12 22:05:05
Comments:
-
340. Name: Jennifer Rubin on 2019-06-12 22:55:42
Comments:
-
341. Name: Nancy on 2019-06-12 23:21:31
Comments: Please halt all tree clearing until the EIS is complete.
-
342. Name: Deb Dee on 2019-06-13 06:22:11
Comments: We need an environmental Impact Statement. NOT an "assessment" . And we need for you not to burn the trees off the mountain for a bunch of fat cats who couldn't care less about the trees, the wildlife and Santa Fe (Except as real estate). You wanted to help protect the forests. So do it and tell the Feds to stick it where the sun don't shine. Don't destroy what's left of our our precious and fragile desert mountain woods and forest, and pollute our reservoir. DONT DO IT! Have the cajones to stop this horrendously stupid boondoggle, for favor.
-
343. Name: Richard Pitcairn DVM PhD on 2019-06-13 18:45:50
Comments: We must learn to live with nature, not attack it and treat it as if without value. Many plants and animals depend for their lives on the integrity of the forest.
-
344. Name: Shana Allan on 2019-06-13 19:08:18
Comments:
-
345. Name: Donna Lunde on 2019-06-13 20:01:28
Comments:
-
346. Name: Gina smith on 2019-06-14 01:16:13
Comments: Please do not kill Mother Nature or the wildlife, and don't act before the EIS is done. THANK YOU
-
347. Name: Nancy Hulbirt on 2019-06-14 15:57:21
Comments: Thank you
-
348. Name: Lucy Lippard on 2019-06-16 18:44:15
Comments: Please do an EIS.
-

349. Name: JC Corcoran on 2019-06-17 20:10:05
Comments:
-
350. Name: chantall brachmann on 2019-06-17 21:44:38
Comments: Stop this madness. No more controlled burns
-
351. Name: Ann Crouse on 2019-06-17 22:21:17
Comments:
-
352. Name: DEBORAH BOLDT on 2019-06-18 01:40:59
Comments: Conducting an EIS is needed before undertaking such radical action. Be wise, be prudent...do your job!
-
353. Name: Solar Law on 2019-06-18 02:58:06
Comments: I respectfully request that the environmental assessment be conducted before the Santa Fe national forest and the girls for their radical I respectfully request that the environmental assessment be conducted before the Santa Fe national forest undergoes Further radical thinning and controlled burns
-
354. Name: REINA SANTIAGO on 2019-06-18 14:27:50
Comments: We have to start protecting our natural world that has taken care of us. There must be a better way to help balance our awesome forest. Let's start there
-
355. Name: Reina Santiago on 2019-06-18 14:28:23
Comments:
-
356. Name: Kris Rhines on 2019-06-18 14:37:41
Comments:
-
357. Name: Michael McCollum on 2019-06-18 21:54:01
Comments: Thanks Reina for letting me know about this.
-
358. Name: Susan Thomas on 2019-06-19 00:14:27
Comments:
-
359. Name: Catherine Bellanca on 2019-06-21 14:38:30
Comments:
-
360. Name: Danica D'Emilio on 2019-06-22 18:17:02
Comments:
-
361. Name: Daniel Seirawan on 2019-06-22 18:51:20
Comments: Please do NOT do this! Clear brush yes, but you will burn the greenery and

inundate us with smoke for months. Tourism will suffer greatly as well.

362. Name: Maureen Chase on 2019-06-22 22:45:49
Comments:

363. Name: susan Peirce on 2019-06-23 01:13:14
Comments: This is such a huge project, it needs an EIS that complies with NEPA. To date your clearing of the forests have left horrible debris and decimation. It's unthinkable what 50,000 acres would look like and how much wildlife and plant life would be negatively impacted!

364. Name: William E Hill III on 2019-06-23 18:10:05
Comments:

365. Name: Julia on 2019-06-23 21:39:12
Comments:

366. Name: Therese Schovanec on 2019-06-23 22:41:35
Comments: Please explore alternatives!

367. Name: Sharon Orbach on 2019-06-23 23:41:41
Comments:

368. Name: Patricia Gilliam on 2019-06-24 01:25:58
Comments: Please halt all tree clearing and burning projects in the Santa Fe National Forest and Hyde Park. The EIS is essential for thoughtful forest preservation that will save our wildlife and preserve the natural beauty of our land. Excessive burning is also a health hazard, as we have experienced from current and past fires throughout the southwest. Thank you.

369. Name: Michelle Torrez on 2019-06-24 02:38:18
Comments:

370. Name: Elizabeth Buddington on 2019-06-24 04:28:07
Comments:

371. Name: Gail on 2019-06-24 18:31:05
Comments:

372. Name: Deborah Stark on 2019-06-30 21:47:50
Comments: The science of old is in serious opposition to what we understand today particularly in our understanding of the eco-systems/eco-system of the forest and the planet as a whole.

We need to adjust our practices to meet this new understanding and stop trying to dominate the Natural World. It barely survives as it is.

-
373. Name: Patricia G Foschi on 2019-06-30 22:46:00
Comments:
-
374. Name: Ellen Kohn on 2019-07-01 20:31:34
Comments:
-
375. Name: Marlene Widmann on 2019-07-02 07:07:28
Comments: Please do what is appropriate and do an EIS.
-
376. Name: Claudia B Wolfe on 2019-07-02 13:05:39
Comments: Seriously?! You can do something this radical without a EIS? PLEASE just take a bit of time to review all options. Clear brush is a good logical start -
-
377. Name: Dharma Widmann on 2019-07-02 18:59:11
Comments: Doing an EIS first is a common sense first step. All that you've accomplished so far is to get a lot of people very upset. We do not live any longer in a time when people will sit back & let the environment be destroyed without a fight.
-
378. Name: Robb Foster on 2019-07-02 23:51:39
Comments: I think an Environmental Impact Statement is absolutely necessary before this project continues.
-
379. Name: Cate Dingley on 2019-07-03 20:50:58
Comments: Inform the public and allow proper time for an EIS before burning, it's the right thing to do.
-
380. Name: Giselle Piburn on 2019-07-04 02:36:52
Comments: Please reconsider this huge burn!
-
381. Name: Sujata on 2019-07-04 02:43:17
Comments:
-