

Date submitted (Mountain Standard Time): 7/10/2019 3:23:16 PM

First name: Cate

Last name: Moses

Organization:

Title:

Comments:

Questions for scoping

1) The project fails to address the impact of "thinning" (aka clearcutting) and burning forests on the severe global warming that Earth is already experiencing and that is increasing at a much higher rate than the Forest Service has ever acknowledged. Current science is clear that the most powerful and doable solution to global warming within the jurisdiction of the Forest Service is to plant trees that will sequester carbon--NOT cut them down and burn them up, which releases into the atmosphere the carbon that trees have sequestered. The exacerbating impact of the project on global warming must be studied thoroughly and MUST be studied in comparison with the sane and scientific approach, which would be to PLANT TREES not cut them down and burn them up.

2) The whole project must be thoroughly analyzed by an Environmental Impact Statement.

2) Analysis should be site-specific, not "condition-based."

3) The Scoping Document is severely lacking in a range of important information that is needed to write meaningful scoping comments. See #1, above.

4) The scoping comment period must be extended so the public has more time to engage and to become informed. It must be extended due to the fact that the Forest Service failed to provide a way that the public could actually comment via email, by setting up an email comment opportunity that appeared to submit users' comments but in reality returned them as "undeliverable," with no alternative functional email address.

Cate Moses Studio

Pronouns: she, her, hers

Santa Fe , NM

www.catemoses.com